

1 ATKINSON, ANDELSON, LOYA, RUUD & ROMO
A Professional Corporation
2 Robert Fried, State Bar No. 85579
Elizabeth P. Lind, State Bar No. 142309
3 5776 Stoneridge Mall Road, Suite 200
Pleasanton, CA 94588
4 Telephone: (925) 227-9200
Fax: (925) 227-9202
5 Email: rfried@aallrr.com
Attorneys for Defendants

6 Muriel B. Kaplan, State Bar No. 124607
7 SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
120 Howard Street, Suite 520
8 Telephone: 415-882-7900
Fax: 415-882-9287
9 Email: mkaplan@sjlawcorp.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 BOARDS OF TRUSTEES FOR THE OPERATING
14 ENGINEERS HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST
FUND, PENSION TRUST FUND FOR
15 OPERATING ENGINEERS, PENSIONED
OPERATING ENGINEERS HEALTH AND
16 WELFARE FUND, OPERATING ENGINEERS
VACATION AND HOLIDAY TRUST FUND,
17 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA PREAPPRENTICE,
APPRENTICE AND JOURNEYMAN
18 AFFIRMATIVE ACTION TRAINING FUND,
OPERATING ENGINEERS CONTRACT
19 ADMINISTRATION FUND FOR NORTHERN
CALIFORNIA, OPERATING ENGINEERS
20 INDUSTRY STABILIZATION TRUST FUND
AND OPERATING ENGINEERS MARKET
21 PRESERVATION TRUST FUND,

22 Plaintiffs,

23 v.

24 MULDER CONSTRUCTION INC., a California
corporation; and RANDOLPH EUGENE
MULDER, an Individual,

25 Defendants.
26

Case No. 3:06cv4894 TEH

**JOINT REQUEST TO CONTINUE
CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE; and ORDER**

DATE: December 10, 2007
TIME: 1:30 p.m.
CRTRM: 12, 19th Floor
JUDGE: Hon. Thelton E. Henderson

27 Plaintiffs and defendants in the above-titled action jointly submit this Request to Continue
28 the Case Management Conference, based on the following:

1 1. Counsel for Plaintiffs was substituted in this case on or about August 31, 2007. At
2 that time, Defendant's Case Management Statement recounted the history and status of this case and
3 stated, "We are currently trying to resolve a question whether a payment was received in November
4 2005 and a payment plan for satisfying the account and audit debts. In researching that historical
5 point, an issue has arisen as to an additional component of amounts alleged as past due liquidated
6 damages and interest for the historical period ending December 2005. Resolving this requires
7 research into the archives of stored materials for both clients."

8 2. An investigation was therefore requested by Plaintiffs' counsel to their administrator,
9 to research and determine whether the Trust Funds had received the \$7,000 payment. The
10 administrator confirmed that no such payment was reflected in the Trust records as having been
11 made. Defendants were invited to provide evidence of such payment for the requested adjustment,
12 but none has been provided to date.

13 3. Defendant's counsel has recently been advised by Defendants that there was a prior
14 settlement agreement between these same parties in another action, which defendants now claim
15 included some paid amounts which are again claimed due on the audit herein. That agreement will
16 be provided this week to Plaintiffs counsel, to confirm or adjust amounts claimed as due.

17 4. The parties will then confer as to resolution of this matter by payment or payment
18 schedule, or by a Motion for Summary Judgment if that should be necessary.

19 5. Defendants' prior Case Management Statement said, and Plaintiffs agree that
20 "Plaintiffs and Defendants do not see any other matters that may need attention to facilitate a just,
21 speedy, and economical disposition of this action, which is really quite simple. Indeed, prospects
22 are good for reaching a settlement on a stipulated judgment....Accordingly, mindful of our mutual
23 obligations to achieve closure on this case, the parties request the court allow additional time for
24 this identified task to be accomplished.

25 /

26 /

27 /

6. The parties therefore request that the Case Management Conference be continued for 60 days (taking into account the holiday season) to allow the parties to resolve the questions and the solution, or, in the unlikely event that resolution is not reached in that time, for the Plaintiffs to file their Motion for Summary Judgment.

DATED: December 3, 2007

ATKINSON, ANDELSON, LOYA, RUUD & ROMO

/s/ Robert Fried

By: _____
Robert Fried, Attorneys For Defendants

DATED: December 3, 2007

SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION

/s/ Muriel B. Kaplan

By: _____
Muriel B. Kaplan, Attorneys For Plaintiffs

It is so ORDERED:

The Case Management Conference currently scheduled for December 10, 2007 shall be continued to March 3, 2008 at 1:30 p.m., with a Joint Case Management Statement due on February 25, 2008. All previously set deadlines and dates related to this case are continued and will be reset at that Conference.

Date: 12/04/07

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

